arXiv:0711.0849v1 [math.RA] 6 Nov 2007

DUALITY FOR PARTIAL GROUP ACTIONS

CHRISTIAN LOMP

Abstract. Given a finite group G acting as automorphisms on a ring $\mathcal{A}$, the skew group ring $\mathcal{A} * G$ is an important tool for studying the structure of G-stable ideals of $\mathcal{A}$. The ring $\mathcal{A}*G$ is G-graded, i.e.G coacts on $\mathcal{A}*G$. The Cohen-Montgomery duality says that the smash product $\mathcal{A} * G\#k[G]^*$ of $\mathcal{A} * G$ with the dual group ring $k[G]^*$ is isomorphic to the full matrix ring $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ over $\mathcal{A}$, where n is the order of G. In this note we show how much of the Cohen-Montgomery duality carries over to partial group actions in the sense of R.Exel. In particular we show that the smash product $(\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G)\,\#k[G]^*$ of the partial skew group ring $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ and $k[G]^*$ is isomorphic to a direct product of the form $K \times \mathbf{e}M_n(\mathcal{A})\mathbf{e}$ where $\mathbf{e}$ is a certain idempotent of $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ and K is a subalgebra of $(\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G)\,\#k[G]^*$. Moreover $\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G$ is shown to be isomorphic to a separable subalgebra of $\mathbf{e}M_n(\mathcal{A})\mathbf{e}$. We also look at duality for infinite partial group actions and for partial Hopf actions.

1. Introduction

Let k be a commutative unital ring and $\mathcal{A}$ a untial k-algebra. Given a finite group G acting as k-linear automorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$, Cohen and Montgomery showed in [3] that the smash product $\mathcal{A} * G\#k[G]^*$ of the skew group ring $\mathcal{A} * G$ and the dual group ring $k[G]^* = \operatorname{Hom}(k[G], k)$ is isomorphic to the full matrix ring $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ over $\mathcal{A}$, where n is the order of G.

R.Exel introduced in [6] the notion of a partial group action on a k-algebra: G acts partially on $\mathcal{A}$ by a family $\{\alpha_g : D_{g^{-1}} \to D_g\}_{g\in G}$ if for all $g \in G$, D_g is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ and α_g is an isomorphism of k-algebras such that for all $g, h \in G$:

(i) $D_e = \mathcal{A}$ and α_e is the identity map of $\mathcal{A}$;
(ii) $\alpha_g(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h) = D_g \cap D_{gh}$;
(iii) $\alpha_g(\alpha_h(x)) = \alpha_{gh}(x)$ for all $x \in D_{h^{-1}} \cap D_{(gh)^{-1}}$.

The partial skew group ring of $\mathcal{A}$ and G is defined to be the projective left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G = \bigoplus_{g\in G} D_g$ with multiplication

$$(a\emptyset g)(b\emptyset h) = \alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(a)b)\emptyset gh$$

This work was partially supported by Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto (CMUP), financed by FCT (Portugal) through the programs POCTI (Programa Operacional Ciência, Tecnologia, Inovação) and POSI (Programa Operacional Sociedade da Informação), with national and European community structural funds.

for all $a \in D_g$ and $b \in D_h$ and where $\o g$ is the placeholder for the gth component of $\bigoplus_{g \in G} D_g$. Since $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ is naturally G-graded, the question arises how much of the Cohen-Montgomery duality carries over to partial group actions.

As in [5] we will assume that the ideals D_g are generated by central idempotents, i.e. $D_g = \mathcal{A}1_g$ with central idempotent $1_g \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $g \in G$. For any $g \in G$ we define the following endomorphism $\beta_g : A \to A$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$\beta_g(a) = \alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}}) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$$

This map gives rise to a k-linear map $k[G] \otimes A \to A$ with

$$g \otimes a \mapsto g \cdot a := \beta_g(a) = \alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}})$$

for all $g \in G, a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 1.1. *With the notation above we have that*

(1) β_g *are k-algebra endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ for all $g \in G$, i.e.*

$$g \cdot (ab) = (g \cdot a)(g \cdot b) \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(2) $g \cdot (h \cdot a) = ((gh) \cdot a)1_g$ *for all $g, h \in G$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

(3) $(g \cdot a)b = g \cdot (a(g^{-1} \cdot b))$ *for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g \in G$.*

Proof. (1) follows since the α_g are algebra homomorphisms and the idempotents 1_g are central, i.e. for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$\beta_g(ab) = \alpha_g(ab1_{g^{-1}}) = \alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}}b1_{g^{-1}}) = \alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}})\alpha_g(b1_{g^{-1}}) = \beta_g(a)\beta_g(b).$$

(2) follows from [5, 2.1(ii)]:

$$\alpha_g(\alpha_h(a1_{h^{-1}})1_{g^{-1}}) = \alpha_{gh}(a1_{h^{-1}g^{-1}})1_g$$

what expressed by β yields the statement of (2).

(3) Using (1), (2) and the fact that $\beta_e = id$ and that the image of β_g is $D_g = A1_g$ we have that

$$g \cdot (a(g^{-1} \cdot b)) = (g \cdot a)(g \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot b)) = (g \cdot a)b1_g = (g \cdot a)b.$$

□

Obviously we also have $g \cdot 1 = \alpha_g(1_{g^{-1}}) = 1_g$ and $g \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot a) = ((gg^{-1}) \cdot a)1_g = a1_g$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g \in G$ using property (2). Moreover using the fact that α_g is bijective and 1_g central we have for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g \in G$ that $g \cdot a = 0$ if and only if $a \in \mathcal{A}(1-1_g)$.

2. GRADING OF THE PARTIAL SKEW GROUP RING

The partial skew group ring is the projective left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} D_g$. We will write an element of $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ as a finite sum of elements $\sum_{g \in G} a_g \o g$ where $a_g \in D_g = A1_g$ and $\o g$ is a placeholder for the g-th component. $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ becomes an associative k-algebra by the product:

$$(a\o g)(b\o h) = \alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(a)b)\o gh$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and $a \in D_g$ and $b \in D_h$. Using our '$\cdot$'-notation we see easily

$$(a\o g)(b\o h) = a(g \cdot b)\o gh.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ is naturally G-graded where the homogeneous elements are those in $\{D_g\}_{g\in G}$, i.e. $D_g D_h \subseteq D_{gh}$ by definition of the multiplication in $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$. Thus $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ becomes a $k[G]$-comodule algebra. Note that the G-grading is strong, in the sense that $D_g D_h = D_{gh}$ if and only if $D_g = \mathcal{A}$ for all $g \in G$, i.e. the G-action is global (since if $D_g D_h = D_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$, then

$$\mathcal{A}1_g 1_{g^{-1}} = D_g D_{g^{-1}} = D_{gg^{-1}} = D_e = \mathcal{A},$$

thus 1_g is an invertible central idempotent and hence equals 1, i.e. $D_g = \mathcal{A}$). Known results on graded rings can be applied to the G-grading of $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$.

3. Duality for partial actions of finite groups

Assume G to be finite, then $k[G]^*$ becomes a Hopf algebra with projective basis $p_g \in k[G]^*$ where $p_g(h) = \delta_{g,h}$ for all $g, h \in H$. The multiplication is defined as $p_g * p_h = \delta_{g,h} p_g$ and the identity element of $k[G]^*$ is $1 = \sum_{h\in H} p_h$. Now $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ becomes a $k[G]^*$-module algebra by

$$p_h \triangleright (a\o g) = \delta_{g,h} a\o g$$

for all $g, h \in G$ and $a_g \in D_g$. The multiplication of the smash product $(\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G)\#k[G]^*$ is defined as

$$(a\o g\#p_h)(b\o k\#p_l) = \sum_{s\in G} (a\o g)[p_s \triangleright (b\o k)]\#p_{s^{-1}h} * p_l = (a\o g)(b\o k)\#p_{k^{-1}h} * p_l = a(g\cdot b)\o gk\#\delta_{h,kl} p_l.$$

The identity element of $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} *_\alpha G\#k[G]^*$ is $\sum_{h\in G} 1\o e\#p_h$. In the case of global actions Cohen and Montgomery proved in [3] that $\mathcal{A} * G\#k[G]^* \simeq M_n(\mathcal{A})$ where $n = |G|$ and $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the ring of $n \times n$-matrizes over $\mathcal{A}$. We will index the matrizes of $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ by elements of G and denote by $E_{g,h}$ the elementary matrix that has the value 1 in the g-th row and the h-th column and zero elsewhere.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a finite group of n elements, acting partially on an k-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and consider the k-algebra $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G)\#k[G]^*$. The map*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow M_n(\mathcal{A}) \quad \textit{with}$$

$$\sum_{g,h} a_{g,h}\o g\#p_h \mapsto \sum_{g,h} h^{-1} \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot a_{g,h}) E_{gh,h}$$

is a k-algebra homomorphism.

Proof. First note that for any $g,h,k \in G$ and $a \in D_g, b \in D_h$ we have, using Lemma 1.1(2) in the 2nd, 4th and 6th line and Lemma 1.1(1) in the 3rd line:

$$\begin{aligned}
k^{-1}\cdot((gh)^{-1}\cdot(a(g\cdot b))) &= k^{-1}\cdot\big(((gh)^{-1}\cdot a)((gh)^{-1}\cdot(g\cdot b))\big)\\
&= k^{-1}\cdot\left[((gh)^{-1}\cdot a)(h^{-1}\cdot b)1_{(gh)^{-1}}\right]\\
&= \left[k^{-1}\cdot((gh)^{-1}\cdot a)\right]\left[k^{-1}\cdot(h^{-1}\cdot b)\right]\\
&= ((ghk)^{-1}\cdot a)((hk)^{-1}\cdot b)1_{k^{-1}}\\
&= ((ghk)^{-1}\cdot a)1_{(hk)^{-1}}((hk)^{-1}\cdot b)1_{k^{-1}}\\
&= ((hk)^{-1}\cdot(g^{-1}\cdot a))(k^{-1}\cdot(h^{-1}\cdot b))
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we showed:

$$k^{-1}\cdot((gh)^{-1}\cdot(a(g\cdot b))) = ((hk)^{-1}\cdot(g^{-1}\cdot a))(k^{-1}\cdot(h^{-1}\cdot b)) \tag{1}$$

For any $a\varnothing g\#p_h, b\varnothing k\#p_l \in (\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G)\#k[G]^*$ we have, using equation (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi((a\varnothing g\#p_h)(b\varnothing k\#p_l)) &= \Phi(a(g\cdot b)\varnothing gk\#\delta_{h,kl}p_l)\\
&= l^{-1}\cdot((gk)^{-1}\cdot(a(g\cdot b)))E_{gkl,l}\delta_{h,kl}\\
&= ((kl)^{-1}\cdot(g^{-1}\cdot a))(l^{-1}\cdot(k^{-1}\cdot b))E_{gh,h}E_{kl,l}\delta_{h,kl}\\
&= (h^{-1}\cdot(g^{-1}\cdot a))E_{gh,h}\,(l^{-1}\cdot(k^{-1}\cdot b))E_{kl,l}\\
&= \Phi(a\varnothing g\#p_h)\Phi(b\varnothing k\#p_l)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Φ is an algebra homomorphism. □

Note that Φ restricted to $\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G$ is injective, i.e. $\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G$ can be considered a subalgebra of $M_n(\mathcal{A})$. In general $\operatorname{Ker}(\Phi)$ is non-trivial, unless the partial action is a global action.

Proposition 3.2. $\operatorname{Ker}(\Phi) = \bigoplus_{g,h\in G}\mathcal{A}(1-1_{gh})1_g\varnothing g\#p_h$.

Proof. Suppose $\gamma = \sum_{g,h} a_{g,h}\varnothing g\#p_h \in \operatorname{Ker}(\Phi)$, then $h^{-1}\cdot(g^{-1}\cdot a_{g,h}) = 0$ for all $g,h\in G$. Thus $(g^{-1}\cdot a_{g,h}) \in \mathcal{A}(1-1_h)\cap D_{g^{-1}} = \mathcal{A}(1-1_h)1_{g^{-1}}$. Hence

$$a_{g,h} = g\cdot(g^{-1}\cdot a_{g,h}) \in \mathcal{A}g\cdot(1-1_h) = \mathcal{A}(1_g - 1_g1_{gh}),$$

i.e. $\gamma \in \bigoplus_{g,h}\mathcal{A}(1-1_{gh})1_g\varnothing g\#p_h$. The other inclusion follows because

$$\Phi\left((g\cdot(1-1_h))\varnothing g\#p_h\right) = h^{-1}\cdot(g^{-1}\cdot(g\cdot(1-1_h)))E_{gh,h} = h^{-1}\cdot((1-1_h)1_g)E_{gh,h} = 0.$$

□

Note that the inclusion of $\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G$ into $(\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G)\#k[G]^*$ is given by $a\varnothing g \mapsto \sum_{h\in G} a\varnothing g\#p_h$ for all $g\in G$ and $a\in D_g$. If $\sum_{h\in G} a\varnothing g\#p_h \in \operatorname{Ker}(\Phi)$, then $a\in\mathcal{A}(1-1_{gh})1_g$ for all $h\in G$. In particular for $h=e$ we have $a\in\mathcal{A}(1-1_g)1_g = 0$. Hence Φ restricted to $\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G$ is injective.

We will describe the image of Φ. By definition of Φ, the image of an arbitrary element $\gamma = \sum_{g,h} a_{g,h}\o g\#p_h$ is

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \sum_{g,h}((gh)^{-1}\cdot a_{g,h})1_{(gh)^{-1}}1_{h^{-1}}E_{gh,h} = \left(b_{r,s}1_{r^{-1}}1_{s^{-1}}\right)_{r,s\in G}$$

with $b_{r,s} = r^{-1}\cdot a_{rs^{-1},s}$ for all $r, s \in G$.

Proposition 3.3. *The image of* Φ *consists of all matrices of the form* $\left(b_{g,h}1_{g^{-1}}1_{h^{-1}}\right)_{g,h\in G}$ *for any matriz* $(b_{g,h})$ *of elements of* $\mathcal{A}$*. In particular* $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi) = \mathbf{e}M_n(A)\mathbf{e}$*, where* $\mathbf{e}$ *is the idempotent* $\sum_{g\in G} 1_{g^{-1}}E_{g,g}$*.*

Proof. We saw already that an element of the image of Φ is of the given form. Note that by definition of partial group action we have

$$D_g \cap D_{gh} = \alpha_g(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h)$$

for all $g, h \in G$. Hence also

$$D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_{h^{-1}} = \alpha_{g^{-1}}(D_g \cap D_{gh^{-1}})$$

holds for all $g, h \in G$. Thus for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$b1_{g^{-1}}1_{h^{-1}} = \alpha_{g^{-1}}(a1_{gh^{-1}}1_g) = g^{-1}\cdot(a1_{gh^{-1}}).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(a1_g1_{gh^{-1}}\o gh^{-1}\#p_h) &= h^{-1}\cdot((hg^{-1})\cdot(a1_g1_{gh^{-1}}))E_{g,h}\\ &= g^{-1}\cdot(a1_g1_{gh^{-1}}))1_{h^{-1}}E_{g,h}\\ &= b1_{g^{-1}}1_{h^{-1}}E_{g,h}\end{aligned}$$

Hence given any matrix $(b_{g,h})$ there are elements $a_{g,h}$ such that

$$\Phi\left(\sum_{g,h} a_{g,h}1_g1_{gh^{-1}}\o gh^{-1}\#p_h\right) = \sum_{g,h} b_{g,h}1_{g^{-1}}1_{h^{-1}}E_{g,h} = \left(b_{g,h}1_{g^{-1}}1_{h^{-1}}\right)_{g,h\in G}.$$

This shows that $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$ consists of all matrizes of the given form and hence is equal to $\mathbf{e}M_n(A)\mathbf{e}$. Note that $\mathbf{e}$ is the image of the identity element of $\mathcal{B}$. ☐

The last Propositions yield our main result in this section

Theorem 3.4. $(\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G)\,\#k[G]^* \simeq \operatorname{Ker}(\Phi) \times \mathbf{e}M_n(\mathcal{A})\mathbf{e}$.

Proof. The kernel of Φ is an ideal and a direct summand of $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G)\,\#k[G]^*$. To see this we first show that the left $\mathcal{A}$-module $I = \bigoplus_{g,h\in G}\mathcal{A}1_{gh}1_g\o g\#p_h$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{B}$. For any $x\o k\#p_l \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a1_{gh}1_g\o g\#p_h \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(a1_{gh}1_g\o g\#p_h)(b\o k\#p_l) &= a1_{gh}1_g(g\cdot b1_k)\o gk\#\delta_{h,kl}p_l = a(g\cdot b)\delta_{h,kl}1_{gkl}1_{gk}\o gk\#p_l \in I.\\ (b\o k\#p_l)(a1_{gh}1_g\o g\#p_h) &= b(k\cdot a1_{gh}1_g)\o kg\#\delta_{k,gh}p_h = b(g\cdot a)\delta_{h,kl}1_{kgh}1_{kg}\o kg\#p_h \in I.\end{aligned}$$

Since $I \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(\Phi) = \mathcal{B}$ and both direct summands are two-sided ideals we have $\mathcal{B} = I \times \operatorname{Ker}(\Phi)$ (ring direct product). Moreover $\Phi(I) = \mathbf{e}M_n(\mathcal{A})\mathbf{e} = \operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$. This implies $\mathcal{B} \simeq \operatorname{Ker}(\Phi) \times \mathbf{e}M_n(\mathcal{A})\mathbf{e}$.

□

Note that Φ embedds $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ into the Pierce corner $\mathbf{e}M_n(\mathcal{A})\mathbf{e}$.

Corollary 3.5. *$\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ is isomorphic to a separable subalgebra of $\mathbf{e}M_n(\mathcal{A})\mathbf{e}$.*

Proof. Recall that the subalgebra $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ sits into $\mathcal{B}$ by $a\o g \mapsto \sum_{h\in G} a\o g\# p_h$. The right action of $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ on $\mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$(x\o k\# p_l)\cdot a\o g = (x\o k\# p_l)\left(\sum_{h\in G} a\o g\# p_h\right) = (x\o k)(a\o g)\# p_{g^{-1}l}$$

The left action is given by

$$a\o g\cdot (x\o k\# p_l) = \left(\sum_{h\in G} a\o g\# p_h\right)(x\o k\# p_l) = (a\o g)(x\o k)\# p_l$$

The element

$$f = \sum_{g\in G} \o e\# p_g \otimes \o e\# p_g \in \mathcal{B}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G}\mathcal{B}$$

is $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$-centralising, i.e. for all $a\o h \in \mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ we have

$$fa\o h = \sum_{g\in G} \o e\# p_g \otimes a\o h\# p_{h^{-1}g} = \sum_{g\in G} a\o h\# p_{h^{-1}g}\otimes \o e\# p_{h^{-1}g} = a\o hf$$

Since also $\mu(f) = \o e\#\sum_{g\in G} p_g = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ we have that f is a seperability idempotent for $\mathcal{B}$ over $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$. Hence $\mathbf{e}M_n(\mathcal{A})\mathbf{e} \simeq \Phi(\mathcal{B})$ is separable over $\Phi(\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G) \simeq \mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$. □

4. Trivial partial actions

The easiest example of partial actions arise from (central) idempotents in a k-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ admits a non-zero central idempotent, i.e. there exist algebras R, S such that $\mathcal{A} = R\times S$ as algebras. For any group G set $D_g = R\times 0$ and $\alpha_g = id_{D_g}$for all $g\neq e$ and $D_e = \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha_e = id_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\{\alpha_g \mid g\in G\}$ is a partial action of G on $\mathcal{A}$. The partial skew group ring turns out to be $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G \simeq R[G]\times S$, where $R[G]$ denotes the group ring of R and G. Note that $0\times S$ is in the zero-componente of the G-grading on $\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G$. If G is finite, say of order n, then a short calculation (using Cohen-Montgomery duality and Theorem 3.4) shows that $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A}*_\alpha G)\# k[G]$ is isomorphic to $M_n(R)\times S^n$ where S^n denotes the direct product of n copies of S. Depending on the rings R and S, $\mathcal{B}$ might or might not be Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$. For instance if $R = S = F$ is a field, then any progenerator P for $\mathcal{A}$ has the form $F^k\times F^m$ for numbers $k, m\geq 1$. Thus $\mathrm{End}_k(P)\simeq M_k(F)\times M_m(F)$, whose center is isomorphic to $F^2 = \mathcal{A}$. On the other hand $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G)\# k[G] \simeq M_n(F)\times F^n$ has center F^{n+1}, i.e. $\mathcal{B}$ will be Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if G is trivial.

On the other hand, there are algebras which satisfy (as algebras) $\mathcal{A}^n \simeq \mathcal{A}\simeq M_n(\mathcal{A})$ for any n. To give an example, let R be the ring of sequences of elements of a field k, i.e. $R = k^{\mathbb{N}}$. The function χ with $\chi(2n) = 1$ and $\chi(2n+1) = 0$ for all n defines an idempotent of R. The map $\Psi : \chi R\to R$ with $\Psi(\chi f)(n) = f(2n)$ is a ring isomorphism.

Analogosuly we can show that $(1-\chi)R \simeq R$. Hence $R^2 \simeq R$. Now take $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{End}_k(F)$, where $F = R^{(\mathbb{N})}$ denotes the countable infinite free R-module. Using again χ we have that

$$\mathcal{A} = (\chi\mathcal{A}) \times ((1-\chi)\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \simeq \cdots \simeq \mathcal{A}^n$$

for any $n \geq 2$. Moreover for any partition of $\mathbb{N}$ into n infinite disjoint subsets $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n$, we have that

$$F = R^{(\mathbb{N})} \simeq R^{(\Lambda_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus R^{(\Lambda_n)} \simeq F^n.$$

Hence $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{End}_k(F) \simeq \mathrm{End}_k(F^n) \simeq M_n(\mathcal{A})$. Applying the double skew group ring construction again we conclude that

$$\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G)\#k[G] \simeq M_n(\chi\mathcal{A}) \times ((1-\chi)\mathcal{A})^n \simeq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}.$$

5. Infinite partial group action

Following Quinn [8] we define Φ in case of G being infinite as a map from $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ to the ring of row and column finite matrizes. Let $M_G(\mathcal{A})$ be the subring of $\mathrm{End}_k(\mathcal{A}^{|G|})$ consisting of row and column finite matrizes $(a_{g,h})_{g,h\in G}$ indexed by elements of G with entries in $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. for any $g \in G$ the sets $\{a_{gh} | h \in G\}$ and $\{a_{hg} | h \in G\}$ are finite. Let $E_{g,h}$ be, as above, those matrizes that are 1 in the (g,h)th component and zero elsewhere. Note that $E_{g,h}E_{r,s} = \delta_{h,r}E_{g,s}$. Then define $\Phi : \mathcal{A} *_\alpha G \to M_G(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$a\emptyset g \mapsto \sum_{h\in G} h^{-1} \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot a)E_{gh,h}$$

for any $a\emptyset g \in \mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$. Note that the (infinite) sum on the right side makes sense in $M_G(\mathcal{A})$. As above one checks that Φ is an algebra homomorphism.

Proposition 5.1. *Let G be any group acting partially on $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathbf{e}M_G(A)\mathbf{e}$ where $M_G(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the ring of row and column finite matrizes indexed by elements of G and with entries in $\mathcal{A}$. The element $\mathbf{e}$ is the idempotent $\sum_{g\in G} 1_{g^{-1}}E_{g,g}$.*

Proof. For all $a\emptyset g, b\emptyset h \in \mathcal{A} *_\alpha G$ we have using equation (1) in the 4th line:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(a\emptyset g)\Phi(b\emptyset h) &= \left(\sum_{k\in G} k^{-1} \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot a)E_{gk,k}\right)\left(\sum_{l\in G} l^{-1} \cdot (h^{-1} \cdot b)E_{hl,l}\right)\\
&= \sum_{k,l\in G} (k^{-1} \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot a))(l^{-1} \cdot (h^{-1} \cdot b))E_{gk,k}E_{hl,l}\\
&= \sum_{l\in G} ((hl)^{-1} \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot a))(l^{-1} \cdot (h^{-1} \cdot b))E_{ghl,l}\\
&= \sum_{l\in G} l^{-1} \cdot ((gh)^{-1} \cdot (a(g \cdot b)))E_{ghl,l}\\
&= \Phi(a(g \cdot b)\emptyset gh)\\
&= \Phi((a\emptyset g)(b\emptyset h))
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Φ is an algebra homomorphism. Since

$$\Phi(a\text{ø}g) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (\forall h \in G) : h^{-1} \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot a) = 0 \Rightarrow g \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot a) = a1_g = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0,$$

we have that Φ is injective. Moreover $\Phi(a\text{ø}g) \in \mathbf{e}M_G(A)\mathbf{e}$ as above. $\square$

6. Partial Hopf action

In [2] Caenepeel and Janssen defined the notion of a partial Hopf action as follows: Let H be a Hopf algebra, with comultiplication Δ, counit ϵ and antipode S, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k-algebra such that there exists a k-linear map

$$\cdot : H \otimes A \to A$$

sending $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$. The action $\cdot$ is called a *partial Hopf action* if for all $h, g \in H$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$:

1. $h \cdot (ab) = \sum_{(h)} (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b)$;
2. $1_H \cdot a = a$;
3. $h \cdot (g \cdot a) = \sum_{(h)} (h_1 \cdot 1)((h_2 g) \cdot a)$;

Let H be a Hopf algebra which is finitely generated and projective as k-module with dual basis $\{(b_i, p_i) \in H \times H^* \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then there exist structure constants $c^i_{k,l}$ and $m^i_{k,l}$ in k such that $\Delta(b_i) = \sum_{k,l=1}^n c^i_{k,l} b_k \otimes b_l$ and $b_k b_l = \sum_{i=1}^n m^i_{k,l} b_i$ for all $1 \leq i, k, l \leq n$. It is well-known that H^* becomes a Hopf algebra with comultiplication and multiplication defined on the generators $\{p_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ as follows: $\Delta_{H^*}(p_i) = \sum_{k,l=1}^n m^i_{k,l} p_k \otimes p_l$ and $p_k * p_l = \sum_{i=1}^n c^i_{k,l} p_i$. The counit of H^* is given by $\epsilon_{H^*}(f) = f(1)$.

Recall that H^* acts on H from the left by $f \rightharpoonup h = \sum_{(h)} h_1 f(h_2)$, such that the smash product $H\#H^*$ can be considered whose multiplication is given by

$$(h\#f)(k\#g) = \sum_{(f)} h(f_1 \rightharpoonup k)\#f_2 * g$$

for all $h, k \in H$ and $f, g \in H^*$. The smash product yields a left module action on H, i.e. an algebra homomorphism

$$\lambda : H\#H^* \to \mathrm{End}_k(H) \quad h\#f \mapsto [k \mapsto h(f \rightharpoonup k)].$$

The smash product $H\#H^*$ is sometimes called the Heisenberg double of H and in case H is free of finite rank isomorphic to $\mathrm{End}_k(H)$ (see [7, 9.4.3]).

Analougosly we have a right action of H^* on H by $h \leftharpoonup f = \sum_{(h)} h_2 f(h_1)$ for all $f \in H^*$ and $h \in H$, turning H into a right H^*-module algebra. The smash product $H^*\#H$ yields a right module action on H, i.e. an algebra anti-homomorphism

$$\rho : H^*\#H \to \mathrm{End}_k(H) \quad f\#h \mapsto [k \mapsto (k \leftharpoonup f)h]$$

As in [7, 9.4.10] one shows that for all $h, k \in H$ and $f, g \in H^*$:

$$\lambda(h\#f)\rho(g\#1) = \sum_{(g)} \rho(g_2\#1)\lambda((h \leftharpoonup S(g_1))\#f) \tag{2}$$

Now assume that H acts partially on $\mathcal{A}$, then the map $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} : A \to A \otimes H^*$ with

$$\Delta(a)_A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i \cdot a) \otimes p_i$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ defines a *partially coaction*. The map $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(ab) &= \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(b) \\
(1 \otimes \epsilon_{H^*})\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) &= id_A(a) \\
(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1)\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) &= (\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(1) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta_{H^*})\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a)
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation shows that in general this coaction does not make $\mathcal{A}$ into a right H-comodule. It can be deduced using the structre constants and property (3) from above

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} b_j \cdot (b_i \cdot a) \otimes p_j \otimes p_i &= \sum_{i,j,k,l,r=1}^{n} c_{k,l}^{j} m_{l,i}^{r} (b_k \cdot 1)(b_r \cdot a) \otimes p_j \otimes p_i \\
&= \sum_{i,k,l,r=1}^{n} m_{l,i}^{r} (b_k \cdot 1)(b_r \cdot a) \otimes p_k p_l \otimes p_i \\
&= \sum_{k,r=1}^{n} (b_k \cdot 1)(b_r \cdot a) \otimes (p_k \otimes 1)\Delta_{H^*}(p_r) \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (b_k \cdot 1) \otimes p_k \right) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} (b_r \cdot a) \otimes \Delta(p_r) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

With the above notation we define a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{End}_k(H)$ by

$$\phi(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i \cdot a) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_i)\#1).$$

Then ϕ is an algebra homomorphism, because

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(ab) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}(b_i \cdot (ab) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_i)\#1) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}((b_i)_1 \cdot a)(((b_i)_2 \cdot b) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_i)\#1) \\
&= \sum_{k,l=1}^{n}(b_k \cdot a)((b_l \cdot b) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(c^i_{k,l}p_i)\#1) \\
&= \sum_{k,l=1}^{n}(b_k \cdot a)((b_l \cdot b) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_l)S^{-1}(p_k)\#1) \\
&= \sum_{k,l=1}^{n}(b_k \cdot a)((b_l \cdot b) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_k)\#1)\rho(S^{-1}(p_l)\#1) \\
&= \phi(a)\phi(b).
\end{aligned}$$

where we use in the line before the last the fact that ρ is an anti-homomorphism.

The partial smash product of $\mathcal{A}$ and H is defined as a certain submodule of $\mathcal{A} \otimes H$. On $\mathcal{A} \otimes H$ we define a new (associative) multiplication by

$$(a \otimes h)(b \otimes g) := \sum_{(h)} a(h_1 \cdot b) \otimes h_2 g.$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $h, g \in H$. Note that $\mathcal{A} \otimes H$ is naturally an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule given by

$$x(a \otimes h)y = (x \otimes 1)(a \otimes h)(y \otimes 1) = \sum_{(h)} xa(h_1 \cdot y) \otimes h_2$$

The partial smash product is defined to be $\underline{\mathcal{A}\#H} = (\mathcal{A} \otimes H)1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and is spanned by the elements of the form $\sum_{(h)} a(h_1 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes h_2\}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, h \in H$. The partial smash product becomes naturally a right H-comodule algebra by

$$\rho = 1 \otimes \Delta : \mathcal{A} \otimes H \to \mathcal{A} \otimes H \otimes H, \quad a \otimes h \mapsto \sum_{(h)} a \otimes h_1 \otimes h_2$$

and for all $(a \otimes h)1_{\mathcal{A}} \in \underline{\mathcal{A}\#H}$ we have

$$\rho((a \otimes h)1_{\mathcal{A}}) = \sum_{(h)} a(h_1 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes h_2 \otimes h_3,$$

making $\underline{\mathcal{A}\#H}$ into a right H-comodule algebra. Moreover $\underline{\mathcal{A}\#H}$ becomes a left H^*-module algebra, where the action is defined by

$$f \triangleright ((a\#h)1_{\mathcal{A}}) = \sum_{(h)}(a(h_1 \cdot 1_{\mathcal{A}})\#(f \rightharpoonup h_2) = (a\#(f \rightharpoonup h))1_{\mathcal{A}},$$

for all $f \in H^*, h \in H, a \in \mathcal{A}$. The classical Blattner-Montgomery duality ([1] says that the double smash product $\mathcal{A}\#H\#H^*$ is isomorphic to $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ where n is the rank of H over k.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\psi : H\#H^* \to \mathcal{A}\otimes\mathrm{End}_k(H)$ be the map defined by $h\#f \mapsto 1\otimes\lambda(h\#f)$ for all $h \in H, f \in H^*$. Then for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, h \in H, f \in H^*$ we have*

$$\phi(1)\psi(h\#f)\phi(a) = \sum_{(h)} \phi(h_1 \cdot a)\psi(h_2\#f).$$

Proof. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}, h \in H, f \in H^*$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(h)} \phi(h_1 \cdot a)\psi(h_2\#f) &= \sum_{(h),i} p_i(h_1)\phi(b_i \cdot a)\psi(h_2\#f) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} b_j \cdot (b_i \cdot a) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_j)\#1)\lambda(h \leftharpoonup p_i\#f) \\
&= \sum_{k,r} (b_k \cdot 1)(b_r \cdot a) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_k(p_r)_1)\#1)\lambda(h \leftharpoonup (p_r)_2\#f) \\
&= \sum_{k,r} (b_k \cdot 1)(b_r \cdot a) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_k)\#1)\rho(S^{-1}((p_r)_1)\#1)\lambda(h \leftharpoonup (p_r)_2\#f) \\
&= \phi(1)\sum_{r} (b_r \cdot a) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_r)_2\#1)\lambda(h \leftharpoonup (S(S^{-1}(p_r)_1)\#f) \\
&= \phi(1)\sum_{r} (b_r \cdot a) \otimes \lambda(h\#f)\rho(S^{-1}(p_r)\#1) \\
&= \phi(1)\psi(h\#f)\phi(a)
\end{aligned}$$

where we use equation (2) in the third line from below. □

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose that H is a Hopf algebra, finitely generated projective over k, which partially actions on $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\Phi : A \otimes H\#H^* \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{End}_k(H)$ with*

$$a \otimes h\#f \mapsto \phi(a)\psi(h\#f)$$

is an algebra homomorphism. The image of the restriction to $\underline{\mathcal{A}\#H}\#H^*$ lies inside $\mathbf{e}\,(A \otimes \mathrm{End}_k(H))\,\mathbf{e}$ where $\mathbf{e}$ is the idempotent

$$\mathbf{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i \cdot 1) \otimes \rho(S^{-1}(p_i) \otimes 1).$$

Proof. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, h, k \in H$ and $f, g \in H^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(a \otimes h\#f)\Phi(b \otimes k\#g)) &= \phi(a)\psi(h\#f)\phi(b)\psi(k\#g) \\
&= \phi(a)\phi(1)\psi(h\#f)\phi(b)\psi(k\#g) \\
&= \sum_{(h)} \phi(a)\phi(h_1 \cdot b)\psi(h_2\#f)\psi(k\#g) \\
&= \sum_{(h,f)} \phi(a(h_1 \cdot b))\psi(h_2(f_1 \rightharpoonup k)\#f_2 * g) \\
&= \Phi\left(\sum_{(h,f)} a(h_1 \cdot b) \otimes h_2(f_1 \rightharpoonup k)\#f_2 * g\right) \\
&= \Phi\left((a \otimes h\#f)(b \otimes k\#g)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Φ is an algebra homomorphism. Since the image of the identity $\mathbf{1} = 1_{\mathcal{A}}\#1_H\#1_{H^*}$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}\#H}\#H^*$ under the map Φ is $\mathbf{e}$, $\mathbf{e}$ is an idempotent. Moreover

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \Phi(\mathbf{1}\gamma\mathbf{1}) \in \mathbf{e}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{End}_k(H))\mathbf{e},$$

for all $\gamma \in \underline{\mathcal{A}\#H}\#H^*$. ☐

REFERENCES

[1] R.J.Blattner and S.Montgomery, *A duality theorem for Hopf module algebras.* J. Algebra 95 (1985), no. 1, 153–172.

[2] S.Caenepeel and K. Janssen *Partial entwining structures*, arxiv.org/math/0610524

[3] M.Cohen and S.Montgomery, *Group-graded rings, smash products, and group actions.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 282 (1984), no. 1, 237–258.

[4] W.Cortes and M.Ferrero, *Partial skew polynomial rings: prime and maximal ideals.*, Comm. Algebra 35 (2007), no. 4, 1183–1199.

[5] M. Dokuchaev, M.Ferrero and A.Paques, *Partial actions and Galois theory.*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 208 (2007), no. 1, 77–87.

[6] R. Exel, *Circle actions on C^*-algebras, partial automorphisms and generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequences*, J. Funct. Anal. 122 (1994), 361?401.

[7] S.Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings.*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 82. AMS (1993)

[8] D.Quinn,*Group-graded rings and duality.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1985), no. 1, 155–167.

UNIVERSITY OF PORTO, DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, RUA CAMPO ALEGRE 687, 4169-007 PORTO (PORTUGAL)

E-mail address: `clomp@fc.up.pt`